

PEOPLE ADVANCING SCIENCE

EPA PFAS Test Methods Are Now Final, What That Means for Ground Water Professionals



ICE BREAKER (2X)

How many of you are <u>familiar</u> with ASTM D8421?

How many have used ASTM D8421?

Corporate PFAS Team

Paul R. Jackson Program Manager, Environmental Compliance & Emerging Contaminants 813-731-1595 Paul.Jackson@pacelabs.com

Lindsay Boone Program Manager, Environmental Compliance & Emerging Contaminants 910-262-5098 Lindsay.Boone@pacelabs.com Nick Nigro Product Manager, PFAS 608-692-7645 Nick.Nigro@pacelabs.com



Stephen Somerville Technical Director, PFAS 804-516-5887 Stephen.Somerville@pacelabs.com





Jim Occhialini V.P., Technical Sales 508-380-8618 jocchialini@alphalab.com Mike McFadden Senior Account Manager/ Federal Program Manager 919-868-5215 Mike.McFadden@pacelabs.com



Pace[®] PFAS LABS





"PFAS" Definition
Method Overview
Solids in My Sample, Oh My!
"Final" Methods?

Q&A

HOPEFUL TAKE-AWAYS

ASTM D8421/ 8327 is a powerful tool

Turbid/ High TSS GW samples is an important consideration



"PFAS" Definition
Method Overview
Solids in My Sample, Oh My!
"Final" Methods?

Q&A

ITRC PFAS Family Tree

Printed from: Interstate Technology & Regulatory Council (ITRC). 2021. PFAS Technical and Regulatory Guidance Document and Fact Sheets PFAS-1. Washington, D.C.: Interstate Technology & Regulatory Council, PFAS Team. https://pfas-1.itrcweb.org/



- Perfluoroalkyl are mostly "terminal" PFAS compounds (most widely studied and regulated)
- Delyfluoroalkyl are entirely "precursors."
- □ FUN FACT: approximately **89%** of all 4,729 PFAS compounds (in 2018 OECD database) are precursors.
- □ What about polymers?
 - □ PTFE (Teflon®)
 - SCFP e.g., fluorinated acrylate-, methacrylateand urethane-based polymers (e.g., Scotchgard[™]) – PRECURSORS
- ITRC family tree is a great resource! See Section 2.2.2 from <u>PFAS Technical and Regulatory</u> <u>Guidance Document</u>.

PFAS Definition – Overview



SOURCE	DEFINITION	HOW MANY?
Buck et al. (2011)	A subset of fluorinated substances is the highly fluorinated aliphatic substances that contain 1 or more C atoms on which all the H substituents (present in the nonfluorinated analogues from which they are notionally derived) have been replaced by F atoms, in such a manner that they contain the perfluoroalkyl moiety CnF2n+1–. These compounds are hereafter referred to as "perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances" and denoted by the acronym PFASs.	268 (excludes fluoropolymers)
Buck et al. (2021)	Bottom-up evaluation, using 2011 definition (241 commercially relevant) and adding 15 compounds that did not meet or were excluded from the 2011 definition (but commercially relevant): HFEs, HFOs, refrigerants, or containing an aromatic ring.	256 (commercially relevant)
OECD 2018	Database of compounds that contain a $-CnF2n-$ (n \ge 3) or $-CnF2nOCmF2m-$ (n and m \ge 1) moiety.	4,729
OECD 2021 and ECHA 2023 (proposed)	New Definition: PFASs are defined as fluorinated substances that contain at least one fully fluorinated methyl or methylene carbon atom (without any H/Cl/Br/l atom attached to it), i.e., with a few noted exceptions, any chemical with at least a perfluorinated methyl group (–CF3) or a perfluorinated methylene group (–CF2–) is a PFAS.	>7 million (PubChem, based on OECD definition)
EPA CompTox	51 "PFAS-related" lists in CompTox database, all with specific definitions and varying levels of curation.	Up to 14,735
EPA	EPA OPPT Working Definition: a structure that contains the unit R-CF2-CF(R')(R"), where R, R', and R" do not equal "H" and the carbon-carbon bond is saturated (note: branching, heteroatoms, and cyclic structures are included). This definition identifies chemicals with at least two adjacent carbon atoms, where one is fully fluorinated and the other is at least partially fluorinated. Most recently (2023), EPA appears to have eliminated its definition, citing that it will look at the definition on a case-by-case basis, depending on the context and	Program-dependent (2023)
	regulatory program that is being considered.	



- "PFAS" Definition
- Method Overview
- Solids in My Sample, Oh My!
- "Final" Methods?
- Q&A

TEST METHO S Non-potable water & Landfill Leachate

Soil, Sediment, Biosolids, Tissue

METHOD	537M	1633	
MATRIX	Non-DW	Non-DW	
COMPOUNDS	Lab-dependent (>40)	40 +	
HOLDING TIMES, DAYS	28/ 28	28/ 28 AQ* 90/ 28 SOLIDS	
EXTRACTION	SPE	SPE	
QUANTIFICATI ON	Isotope Dilution	Isotope Dilution	
NOTES	Rapidly on decline, but still accepted depending on regulatory venue and project DQOs	Became "Final" in January 2024	

Did You Know?

- 1633 is a "performance-based" method?
- No material concerns with comparability

537M vs. 1633 Comparison

PFOS Scatter Plot- Highest Result Removed



EPA 1633 Development Timeline

- August 2021: First Draft Published
 - October 2021: MLV process begins (Pace Baton Rouge)
- June 2022: Second Draft Published
- December 2022: Third Draft Published
 - "Final" control limits for WW matrix (only)
- July 2023: Fourth Draft Published
 - "Final" control limits for all AQ (WW, +GW, +SW)
- January 2024: "Final" Method, with data for all 8 applicable matrices
 - WW (3rd Draft); GW/SW (4th Draft); leachate, soil, sediment, biosolid, tissue (Final Method)



8327 and D8421/D8535 Timeline



Method Comparison Summary

Method	Matrix	Compounds	Calibration/ Quantification	Notes
ASTM D7968	Soil/ Solids	31	External Standard	New method (D8535) published to replace D7968
ASTM D7979	NPW	31	External Standard	New method (D8421) published to replace D7979
EPA 8327	NPW (Solids*)	24	External Standard	 Developed using D7979 Same fundamental procedure as D7979 and D8421
ASTM D8421 ASTM D8535	NPW Solids	44	External Standard (optional Isotope Dilution)	 Replaces D7979/ D7968 Increase compounds to 44 Multi-lab validated (discussed in deep-dive)

TEST METHO S Non-potable water & Leachate



ASTM D8421/D8535 and **METHOD EPA 8327** MATRIX Non-potable water and solids COMPOUNDS 44 (Pace) HOLDING TIMES, 28 DAYS EXTRACTION Direct Injection (co-solvation, filter) QUANTIFICATION Isotope Dilution* (Pace) ASTM D8421 completed an ILS; while NOTES published reporting limits are a little higher, lab working on reducing reporting limits

Advantages

- 5 mL sample size (AQ) *triplicate
- Faster TAT
- Lower cost
- Will likely be added to 40CFR Part 136



ASTM D8421 Inter-Laboratory Study (ILS)

- Voluntary program commercial, state, and EPA labs
- Scope
 - ✓ 44 target compounds (DM1633 + 4)
 - ✓ 24 surrogate compounds
- 11 different aqueous challenge matrices (see next slide)
 - ✓ 20-800 ng/L spikes
- Resulted in 8 sets (8 labs) of data for evaluation



- Landfill Leachate
- Metal Finisher
- POTW Effluent 1
- Hospital
- POTW Influent
- Bus Washing Station
- Powerplant
- Pulp and Paper
- POTW Effluent 2
- Ground Water
- Surface Water



Average Surrogate Recovery All Eleven Matrices Combined Amongst Eight Labs



D8421 vs. 1633: PACE CASE STUDY

Sample ID	PFOA (1633)	PFOA (D8421)	PFOS (1633)	PFOS (D8421)
1	720	700	29000	29000
2	2.8	3.9 J	6.1	7.8 J
3	94	80	710	620
4	13	9.6	47	40
5	79	77	28	27
6	15	17	220	200
7	6.5	6 J	3.5	3.3 J
8	16	15	190	190
9	3.8	4 J	12	11 J
10	55	49	790	770
	PFOA		PFOS	
R-Squared	0.999656228		0.999990751	

- TEMP GW Wells
- Multiple depths
- Multiple locations throughout site

WHEN CAN I USE THESE TARGETED METHODS?





- "PFAS" Definition
- Method Overview
- Solids in My Sample, Oh My!
- "Final" Methods?
- Q&A

SOLIDS in MY SAMPLE – OH MY!

- Why Solids Content Matters.....
 - Representative?
 - Lab/ Method Challenges
 Bias/ PFAS Profile





Lab/ Method Challenges Clogging SPE 1633 Requirements Not all samples with high solids content centrifuge sufficiently



SOLIDS in MY SAMPLE – OH MY!



Used with permission: Liz Denly, TRC

s.com 25



- "PFAS" Definition
- Method Overview
- Solids in My Sample, Oh My!
- "Final" Methods?
- Q&A

Now That Methods Are Final?

DRINKING WATER

- Draft Method 534

 9 compounds
 (6 MCL + 3) using co-solvation followed by direct injection
- Ultra-Short Chain (USC) □ 6 compounds (e.g., <C4 e.g., TFA, PFPrA) using direct-injection
- **EOF/CIC method** \Box Lower RL than EPA 1621 AOF

CLEAN WATER ACT

- Update to EPA 1633?
- 40 CFR 136 Method Update Rule (early 2025?) adding:
 - ✔ EPA 1633
 - 🖌 EPA 1621
 - ✔ ASTM D8421

SW-846 (RCRA/CERCLA)

• Publish an SW-846 version of EPA 1633 (timeframe TBD) □ 8328?





HOPEFUL TAKE-AWAYS

ASTM D8421/ 8327 is a powerful tool

Turbid/ High TSS GW samples is an important consideration

Pace Favorite PFAS Resources



Compound Databases

EPA CompTox: <u>https://comptox.epa.gov/dashboard/chemical-lists</u> (in "List Name" search for "PFAS," → 51 lists currently)

Site Maps and Data

- ECHO PFAS Analytics: <u>https://echo.epa.gov/trends/pfas-tools</u>
- EWG: <u>https://www.ewg.org/interactive-maps/pfas_contamination/</u>

Cleanup Levels

- ITRC PFAS Water and Soil Values Table Excel File: <u>LINK</u> (updated frequently) also includes many other PFAS Fact Sheets
- EPA RSLs: <u>https://www.epa.gov/risk/regional-screening-levels-rsls-whats-new</u>

Regulatory/Legal

• Safer States: <u>https://www.saferstates.com/bill-tracker</u>

Toxicity

ECOTOX: <u>https://cfpub.epa.gov/ecotox/explore.cfm?sub=Chemicals</u>

PEOPLE ADVANCING SCIENCE THANK YOU

Pace

Additional resources:

- PFAS.com
- PACELABS.COM | Search: PFAS